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1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years obesity have become a serious public health risk in the Western society. Obesity and adipose tissue dysregulation plays a major role in various human diseases, including insulin resistance, type II diabetes, hypertension, cardiovascular diseases and cancer. The peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-γ (PPARγ) is a key regulator of adipocyte differentiation and function. PPARγ is necessary for adipose tissue development and for systemic insulin sensitivity.

Maintenance of protein conformation and protection of protein homeostasis (proteostasis) upon stress is critical for cellular survival and function. Hsp90 is a conserved and essential molecular chaperone and protein remodelling factors involved in this process. Hsp90 binds and stabilizes termodynamically unstable proteins. Unstable proteins may be denatured by proteotoxic stress or various proteins unstable under physiological conditions, so called Hsp90 ‘clients’. The majority of clients are hubs of the signal transduction network and involved in cell survival and proliferation pathways. However participation of Hsp90 in cell differentiation is largely unclear.

During my Ph.D. studies I set out to investigate the effect of Hsp90 and proteotoxic stress on adipocyte differentiation and identify the underlying molecular mechanism.
2. Objectives

The specific aims were as follows:

1. To explore the connection between Hsp90 function and adipocyte differentiation.
2. To elucidate the underlying molecular mechanism.
3. To assess the impact of proteotoxic stress on adipogenesis.
4. To test whether the effect of proteotoxic stress is reversible and if it may be a novel regulatory mechanism.
3. METHODS

3.1. Cell culture (3T3-L1, HepG2)

3T3-L1 mouse preadipocyte and HepG2 human hepatoma cells were obtained from the ATCC. Both cell types were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (with 4.5 mg/ml glucose), supplemented with 2 mM L-glutamine, 1.5 g/l sodium bicarbonate, 4.5g/l glucose, 100 µg/ml streptomycin and 100 IU/ml penicillin at isobaric oxygen in 5% CO₂ at 37°C. 3T3-L1 and HepG2 cells were cultured in the presence of 10% bovine or 10% fetal bovine serum, respectively.

3.2. Adipocyte differentiation and treatments

3T3-L1 cells were cultured to confluence. Differentiation was induced 2 days post-confluence (designated as day 0) by 1 µM dexamethasone, 0.5 mM isobutylmethylxanthine and 1 µM ciglitizone. After 48 hours, cells were incubated with 1 µM ciglitizone for 48 hours. Subsequently, cells were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum till the end of the experiments. Cells were treated with various concentrations of geldanamycin, the PI3K inhibitor LY294002, heat shock and/or the proteasome inhibitor MG132.
3.3. *Oil Red O staining*

Cellular fat accumulation was monitored by Oil Red O staining on day 14, either visualized by microscopy or quantified by photometry. Cells were cultured either on coverslips or in 6-well plates. After washings and fixing cells were stained for 10 min in freshly prepared Oil Red O solution. Samples were visualized and photographed by a Nikon Eclipse E400 camera or a Alpha XD2-2T invert microscope. Lipid accumulation was determined by photometry. Optical density was measured at 500 nm by a Thermo Varioskan Flash photometer using isopropanol as blank.
3.4. Cell lysis, purification of aggregates

After treatments, cells were lysed on ice with WB lysis buffer (50 mM Tris, 300 mM NaCl, 1 mM MgCl$_2$, 0.5 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM EGTA, 20% glycerol, 1% NP40, 0.5 mM DTT, 2x Complete, pH 7.6). Protein concentration of the supernatants was determined by Bradford method, and equal amounts of proteins were subjected to SDS-PAGE. Detergent-insoluble pellets, containing aggregated proteins, were solubilized in urea buffer (2% SDS, 6 M urea, 30 mM Tris, pH 7.6). Equal volumes of protein extracts from each fraction were resolved by SDS-PAGE.

3.5. Determination of protein concentration

Protein concentration was determined according to Bradford by Bio-Rad reagent or by detergent-compatible BCA protein assay.

3.6. Immunoprecipitation

5x10$^6$ cells were treated by 0.25 µg/ml geldanamycin or DMSO for 2 hours on day 3. Lysis was performed in IP lysis buffer (50 mM Tris, 2 mM EDTA, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM Na$_3$VO$_4$, 1% NP40, 2x Complete). PPAR$\gamma$ was immunoprecipitated by a monoclonal anti-PPAR$\gamma$ antibody. Pellets were washed five times with lysis buffer, and analyzed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting with anti-Hsp90 and anti-PPAR$\gamma$ antibodies.
3.7. SDS-PAGE and Western blotting

Equal amounts of proteins were subjected to SDS-PAGE. Western blotting was performed by transfer to nitrocellulose membrane and by blocking in 5% (w/v) skim milk powder. Blots were probed with the appropriate primary antibodies overnight at 4 °C and incubated with peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies for an hour at room temperature, and developed using ECL detection. The protein levels were determined by densitometric analysis of the Western blots using Image J, and normalized to the corresponding β-actin level.

3.8. Cell viability

Cell viability was assayed by trypan blue exclusion in a hemocytometer.

3.9. mRNA expression analysis

mRNA was prepared and was reverse transcribed using the GeneJET RNA Purification Kit, and the RevertAid™ cDNA Synthesis Kit, respectively. Sequences of primer sets for mouse PPARγ2, adiponectin, lipoprotein lipase (LPL), GLUT4, aP2 and 28S rRNA were described in work of Ezure and Amano. Quantitative PCR was performed in an ABI 7300 System by using Maxima SYBR Green/ROX qPCR Master Mix according to the
manufacturer’s instructions. Relative amounts of mRNA were determined using the Comparative CT Method for quantification and were normalized to 28S rRNA mRNA levels.

3.10. **Statistical analysis**
Data were compared by Student’s *t* test. Variables were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Statistical significance was indicated as follows: *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001.
4. RESULTS

4.1. Hsp90 inhibition impedes differentiation and survival of 3T3-L1 cells

To investigate the effect of Hsp90 on adipogenesis, mouse 3T3-L1 preadipocytes, a widely used model was employed. To exclude the effect of Hsp90 on cell proliferation, treatments were performed on day 3 at the terminal differentiation phase of adipogenesis. In response to hormonal stimulation, 3T3-L1 cells terminally differentiate into mature adipocytes in two weeks and accumulate triglycerides in lipid droplets, readily stained by Oil Red O. Two structurally unrelated inhibitors of Hsp90 ATP binding, geldanamycin (GA) binding to the N-terminal domain and novobiocin targeting the C-terminal domain totally suppressed adipogenesis in a concentration-dependent manner (p<0.001).

Inhibition of differentiation may arise as a consequence of cell death. To test this possibility I measured cell viability and differentiation in parallel experiments on day 14. Low GA concentrations selectively inhibited adipogenesis (56 nM: 80% differentiation inhibition, p<0.001; without significant cell death). Higher GA concentrations and longer treatments caused cell death and impeded viability of both preadipocytes and mature adipocytes. This result is consistent with the essential role of Hsp90 in cell survival. The tenfold difference between the 50% inhibitory concentration of differentiation and viability, respectively (IC50\text{diff}=16.38 \text{ nM} \text{ vs. IC50\text{viab}=163.6} \text{ nM, p<0.001}) suggests that differentiation promoting effect of Hsp90 is a consequence of other than its pro-survival mechanism(s).
4.2. Hsp90 inhibition selectively depletes PPARγ protein levels

Next, I investigated potential molecular mechanisms behind the GA-induced inhibition of adipogenesis. In search for a potential Hsp90 client, protein levels of main regulators of terminal differentiation were determined by Western blot in response to a 20-hr GA treatment on day 3. Under these conditions GA partially decreased the protein level of Akt, a known Hsp90 client (p<0.01), whereas did not impinge on the PPARγ co-operator C/EBPα p42 level. Importantly GA and novobiocin treatment entirely depleted protein levels of both isoforms of PPARγ in a concentration-dependent manner (IC50 values for PPARγ1 and PPARγ2: 51.0 and 40.8 nM, respectively, p<0.001). Hence, PPARγ (and Akt) may mediate the adipogenesis promoting effect of Hsp90. GA treatment also decreased PPARγ protein levels in HepG2 human hepatocytes. Thus, Hsp90 stabilizes PPARγ protein in mammalian cells.

4.3. Inhibition of the Hsp90-PPARγ interaction triggers destabilization and proteasomal degradation of PPARγ

Hsp90 binds and stabilizes clients in an ATP-dependent manner. To address whether PPARγ and Hsp90 interact I immunoprecipitated endogenous PPARγ protein from control and GA-treated 3T3-L1 cells. Hsp90 was detected in a physical complex with PPARγ, which was disrupted by GA treatment, suggesting an ATP-dependent interaction.

Hsp90 clients are destabilized and undergo proteasomal degradation in response to Hsp90 inhibition. To examine this, 3T3-L1 cells were treated with
GA and/or the proteasome inhibitor MG132, then detergent soluble (stable) and insoluble (aggregate) fractions were isolated. GA caused the complete disappearance of PPARγ and Akt from the detergent soluble supernatant. A parallel treatment by the proteasome inhibitor MG132 induced accumulation of both PPARγ and Akt in the detergent insoluble pellet, demonstrating a destabilization-induced aggregation of PPARγ (and Akt). Thus, inhibition of ATP-dependent function of Hsp90 causes destabilization and proteasomal degradation of PPARγ.

4.4. **Hsp90 function is required for PPARγ transcriptional output and for survival of mature adipocytes**

Next, we investigated how Hsp90 inhibition affects PPARγ-driven transcription. To this end, expression of PPARγ target mRNAs was determined from control and GA treated cells, by reverse transcription and quantitative PCR on day 4 and at the end of adipocyte differentiation on day 14. GA treatment prevented the induction of PPARγ-dependent target mRNAs important for autonomous (GLUT4, aP2) and for systemic (adiponectin) functions of adipocytes (p<0.001). Moreover, GA treatment inhibited the expression of PPARγ2 mRNA itself (p<0.001), suppressing a positive auto-regulatory loop. PPARγ target genes exhibited virtually identical GA concentration dependence and IC50 values, and these values are similar to the IC50 value of PPARγ protein depletion (IC50 values: PPARγ2 39 nM; GLUT4 41.9 nM; aP2 85.5 nM; adiponectin 43.8 nM). Assessing the protein levels of PPARγ and the master adipokine, adiponectin revealed a parallel decline in
GA-treated mature adipocytes. Hence, Hsp90 function is necessary for PPARγ stability and ensures the transcriptional response of PPARγ, which creates and maintains the functional characteristics of mature adipocytes. Thus, PPARγ is a novel Hsp90 client.

4.5. Proteotoxic stress halts adipogenesis via abrogating the Hsp90-PPARγ complex

Recent evidence confirms that Hsp90 binds thermodynamically unstable proteins. As increasing the amount of misfolding proteins may overload Hsp90 capacity, I investigated how proteotoxic stress interferes with PPARγ stability and adipogenesis. Strikingly, a transient moderate heat shock at 43°C on day 3 in 3T3-L1 cells induced a quantitative depletion of PPARγ, contrasting the unchanged level of C/EBPα p42 isoform. Lysates from heat treated cells were separated to detergent soluble and insoluble fractions, respectively. I observed that the entire amount of PPARγ (and Akt) was rapidly misfolded and sedimented in the detergent-insoluble pellet upon heat shock, even when proteasome function was not pharmacologically inhibited. Hsp90 largely preserved its solubility, indicating a loss of interaction with PPARγ following by destabilization and aggregation of PPARγ. The transient folding defect of PPARγ in response to a moderate heat shock significantly inhibited PPARγ-dependent transcription and led to the cessation of adipocyte differentiation, while up to 120 minutes it did not largely interfere with their survival.
In physiological conditions, ~30% of newly synthesized proteins are mistranslated, misfolded and degraded by the proteasome. Therefore, I increased misfolded protein load by partial proteasome inhibition as an independent proteotoxic challenge. Indeed, partial inhibition of proteasome resulted in a selective destabilization of PPARγ, inhibition of PPARγ target gene expression, as well as an impaired adipogenesis. The above observations demonstrated that global proteotoxic stresses exert similar effects to selective Hsp90-inhibition and abrogate all PPARγ folding, function and adipogenesis.

4.6. Recovery from stress allows the continuation of adipogenic program by the restoration of PPARγ function

To investigate whether the ability to differentiate is reversibly restored upon attenuation of stress, after a heat shock or GA treatment on day 3, 3T3-L1 preadipocytes were re-exposed to the stimuli inducing differentiation on day 5. Cells were able to restore differentiation at a progressively decreasing level with increasing doses of GA and heat- shock treatments.

To assess the relationship between PPARγ stability and adipocyte differentiation I selected two conditions (112 nM GA and 2h heat shock) characterized by maximal differentiation inhibition along with minimal cell death, to circumvent the influence of residual PPARγ activity and extensive cell death, respectively, on differentiation in response to the repeated stimulus. After 2-hr heat shock at 43°C and 112 nM overnight GA treatment quantitative inhibition of adipogenesis were observed. Intriguingly, re-exposure of cells to the differentiation medium led to a successful
differentiation of the majority of the heat shocked, but not GA-treated cell population. This phenomenon was in line with the restoration of stabilized PPARγ protein levels in response to the hormonal treatments after recovery from heat shock, but not after GA treatment, respectively. This result is consistent with intracellular accumulation of, and sustained inhibition of Hsp90, by GA. Neither GA nor heat shock affected total Hsp90 protein level. These data suggest that recovery from stress allows the restoration of Hsp90 capacity, PPARγ stability and the continuation of the adipogenic program.

Figure 2 Model of the stress-responsive regulation of PPARγ and adipogenesis.
5. Conclusions

1. My study revealed a critical requirement of (ATP-dependent function of) Hsp90 for the differentiation and survival of 3T3-L1 adipocytes. Hence, Hsp90 might be a novel therapeutic target in obesity and the metabolic syndrome. However, further in vivo studies could help assess its feasibility and the requirement of adipose-specific targeting.

2. I identified the adipose master regulator PPARγ as an Hsp90 client, which provides a molecular mechanism underlying the regulation of adipocyte differentiation by Hsp90. Whether the Hsp90-PPARγ interaction has significance in extra-adipose tissues and various (patho)physiological processes (such as inflammation, neurodegeneration, cancer or ageing) modulated by PPARγ requires future research.

3. I demonstrated that general proteotoxic stresses induce the destabilization and aggregation of PPARγ (and Akt) and halt adipogenesis.

4. I observed that upon relief from stress the differentiation program was continued by the restoration of PPARγ function. My findings reveal a novel, immediate and dynamic regulatory mechanism acting directly at the level of protein conformation. The Hsp90-PPARγ interaction modulates cellular function and phenotype in a stress-responsive manner and may facilitate the selection of cells with greater fitness to benefit the organism. Whether this mechanism might be generalized to other tissues and Hsp90 clients is an exciting opportunity to explore.
6. **Publication List**

6.1. **Publications related to the thesis**


**IF: 8.371**

Citation (total/independent): 1/1


**IF: 3.455**

Citation (total/independent): 8/7

6.2. **Publications not directly related to the thesis**


**IF: 7.189**


IF: 1.689
Citation (total/independent): 20/13


IF: 1.731
Citation (total/independent): 11/6
7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, I thank my supervisor Dr. Csaba Sőti for his guidance and support. I am also grateful to Prof. Péter Csermely for his help with the manuscript and for the financial support by the TÁMOP-4.2.2/B-10/1-2010-0013 grant. I thank to Prof. József Mandl and Prof. Gábor Bánhegyi for providing the opportunity to work at the Molecular Medicine Doctoral School of Semmelweis University and at the Department of Medical Chemistry. For the generous support of my studies, I would like to acknowledge the Gedeon Richter Centenary Foundation.

Herein, I thank the present and past members of our laboratory: Mehmet Alper Arslan, Zoltán Spiró, Ákos Putics, Dávid Gyurkó, Milán Somogyvári, Balázs Dancsó, Diána Papp for their useful comments and suggestions and Beatrix Gilányi for her precious technical support. I am also grateful to all my colleagues working at the Department of Medical Chemistry for their help and for the scientific background. I’m grateful to Dr. Tibor Károly Fábián, who encouraged me at the beginning of my scientific career.

Finally, I express my thanks and gratitude to my parents and my family for their presence and committed support.